PHILIP MORRIS U. S. A.

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

RICHMOND, VIRGINIA

To: Mr. A. I. Palmer

Date: September 28, 1977

From: R. B. Seligman

Subject: Keritsis/Lendvay Patent Applications

As you will recall, the Directors were requested to indicate their order of priority for the subject cases. It was fairly generally agreed that the Keritsis cases should be filed before the Lendvay cases, with the exception of Lendvay case #697. This particular Lendvay case should be given high priority.

In the Keritsis cases, #641 should be given highest priority, followed by cases #662/#698. Some thought should be given to combining these last two cases into one application. Lowest priority should be given to case #653, while #689 and #713 may require more work before an application can be pursued.

Of the remaining Lendvay cases, it is suggested that case #636 be assigned the lowest priority, while cases #690/#695 should be equally weighted and perhaps combined.

Armed with the above information, it is suggested that you discuss with Howard Kothe the various applications to establish groupings, etc. Then the filings of all the applications can be divided between Watson Leavenworth and other firms of your choice. At that point, I would suggest Alex Holtzman be advised of your joint final decision.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

R B Seligman

cc: Mr. F. Resnik
Mr. A. Holtzman
Mr. H. Kothe
Dr. W. Farone
Dr. W. Gannon
Dr. T. Osdene
Mr. R. Thomson

2000512485

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/xswj0000